

## **TACKLING INEQUALITY AND SOCIAL RISKS: A GLOBAL PERSPECTIVE**

Professor: **Emanuele FERRAGINA**

Session: **June 2025**

Language of instruction: **English**

Number of hours of class: **36h**



### **Objective of the Course**

The objective of the course is to allow students to understand how inequalities and social risks are tackled differently across the globe. On the one hand, the course discusses the role of public policy in fighting inequalities across OECD, Latin American, Eastern European, and East Asian countries. On the other, it clarifies how the massive political economy changes that have taken place since the end of the 1960s are conditioning the capacity of different countries to fight against inequality and insure citizens against social risks.



### **Summary**

How do countries fight inequalities and social risks across the globe? Which are the main common/dissimilar trends we can identify studying international political economy and public policy? The course will provide a provisional answer to these two questions by employing a mixture of lectures, student presentations, and class discussions.

The course is divided into two parts. After illustrating why fighting inequalities in the 21st century matters in the introductory sessions, Part I of the course details how countries across the globe – in the Western World (North America, Western Europe, Australia & New Zealand), Latin America, Eastern Europe, and East Asia – developed public policy strategies to fight inequalities and social risks. This part of the course will mix a general understanding of public policy (and welfare) regimes in these macro areas with the appraisal of some specific national cases. Part II of the course highlights the main political economy shifts that impact inequalities and social risks. This includes discussion of the passage from Fordism to widespread liberalization processes, the renewal of class and gender issues, the impact of the 2008 financial crisis, and the relation between political economy and societal change. Student presentations and

group discussions will allow to further expand upon five questions addressed in the lectures: (1) Does greater equality make societies stronger? (2) Is there a paradox in the relationship between the welfare state intervention and redistribution? (3) Is free trade a fair game? (4) Do global elites use women's labour and ideas to exploit the world? (5) Is austerity a dangerous idea for our societies?

The course will be complemented by a selection of additional reading material. Students with specific interests who want to go beyond the information provided during the course can find a rich list of readings for each session.



## Organization of the course

	Dates TBA	Topic
1		Introduction: warming up, course structure, group formation
2		Why Fighting Inequality Matters: Back to the Basics Part I
3		Why Fighting Inequality Matters: Back to the Basics Part II
<b><i>Part I: Fighting Against Inequalities and Social Risks Across the Globe</i></b>		
4		Rich OECD Countries Part I: Explaining welfare state development
5		Rich OECD Countries Part II: Explaining welfare state varieties
6		Latin America
7		<b>Debate 1:</b> <i>Does greater equality make societies stronger?</i>
8		Eastern Europe
9		<b>Debate 2:</b> <i>Illustrate how the welfare state redistributes, highlighting the insights from the 'paradox of redistribution'. Is there any way to reduce the continuous polarization of income and wealth? Does the welfare state still play an important role?</i>
10		East Asia
<b><i>Part II: The Changing Political Economy Context</i></b>		
11		From Fordism to a Service-based economy. How we went from Keynes to Schumpeter
12		<b>Debate 3:</b> <i>Is free trade a fair game? Or do the most developed nations kick away the ladder?</i>
13		Class, Gender and Public Policy Reforms
14		<b>Debate 4:</b> <i>Do global elites use women's labour and ideas to exploit the world?</i>
15		How does the Economic Crisis Affect the Capacity to Fight Inequalities?
16		<b>Debate 5:</b> <i>Is austerity a dangerous idea?</i>
17		The Rising Invisible Majority: Bringing Society back to International Political Economy
18		Recap & QA



## Bibliography

### TEXTBOOKS

*These texts provide general and introductory material for the course. Specific articles in peer-reviewed journals, book chapters, reports, and other readings are assigned for each session.*

- Barrientos, A. (2013). *Social Assistance in Developing Countries*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Milanović, B. (2016). *Global Inequality: A New Approach for the Age of Globalization*. Cambridge: The Belknap Press of Harvard University Press.
- Pierson, C., Castles, F.G and Naumann, K. (eds.) (2014) *The Welfare State Reader, 3rd Edition*, Cambridge: Polity Press.

### READINGS BY SESSION

*\* The asterisk indicates the readings that should be prioritised.*

- Sessions 1, 2 & 3 Introduction and Why Fighting Inequality Matters

- \* Piketty, T. (2014) *Capital in the 21st Century*, Cambridge: Harvard University Press, pp. 1-35 & 571-577.
- Marshall, T.H. (2014) "Citizenship and Social Class," in Pierson, Castle and Naumann (eds), pp. 28-37.
- Titmuss, R. (2014) "Universalism versus Selection" in Pierson, Castle and Naumann (eds), pp. 38-46.

- Session 4, Rich OECD Countries Part I: Explaining welfare state development

- Amenta, E. (2003) "What we Know about the Development of Social Policy", in Mahoney, J. and Rueschemeyer, D. (eds.) *Comparative Historical Analysis in the Social Sciences*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp. 91-130.
- \* Skocpol, T. & Amenta, E. (1986) "States and Social Policies", *Annual Review of Sociology* 12, 131-157.

- Session 5, Rich OECD Countries Part 2: Explaining welfare state varieties

- \* Esping-Andersen, G. (1990) *The Three Worlds of Welfare Capitalism*, Cambridge: Polity Press, Part I.
- Ferragina, E. and Seeleib-Kaiser, M. (2011) "Welfare Regime Debate: Past, Present, Futures? *Policy and Politics*, 39(4), pp. 583-611.

- Session 6, Latin America

- \* Barrientos, A. and Santibáñez, C. (2009) “New Forms of Social Assistance and the Evolution of Social Protection in Latin America”, *Journal of Latin American Studies*, 41, 1-26
- Mares, I. and Carnes, M. (2009) “Social Policy in Developing Countries”, *Annual Review of Political Science*, 12: 92-113.

- Session 7, Debate 1

Does greater equality make societies stronger?

Wilkinson, R. and Pickett, K. (2009) *The Spirit Level. Why Greater Equality Makes Societies Stronger*. London: Bloomsbury Press.

- Session 8, Eastern Europe

Cook, J. L. (2008) “Negotiating Welfare in Postcommunist States”, *Comparative Politics*, 40, 41-62

\* Orenstein, M.A. (2008) “Postcommunist Welfare States,” *Journal of Democracy*, 19, 80-94.

- Session 9, Debate 2

Illustrate how the welfare state redistributes, highlighting the insights from the ‘paradox of redistribution.’ Is there any way to reduce the continuous polarization of income and wealth? Does the welfare state still play an important role?

Main article: Korpi, W. and Palme, J. (1988) “The Paradox of Redistribution and Strategies of Equality: Welfare State Institutions, Inequality, and Poverty in the Western Countries”, *American Sociological Review*, 63: 661-687.

Additional material:

Jacques, O., & Noël, A. (2018). The case for welfare state universalism, or the lasting relevance of the paradox of redistribution. *Journal of European Social Policy*, 28(1), 70-85.

Marx, I., Salanauskaite, L., & Verbist, G. (2013). The paradox of redistribution revisited: and that it may rest in peace?

- Session 10, East Asia

\* Kyung-Sup, C. (1999) “Compressed Modernity and its Discontents: South Korean Society in Transition”, *Economy and Society*, 28, 30-55.

Wood, A. and Willem A. (2017) Rain or shine, Asia needs a better umbrella of social protection (Intro to A Decade of Social Protection Development in Selected Asian Countries. Paris: OECD) June, at <http://oecdinsights.org/2017/06/19/rain-or-shine-asia-needs-a-better-umbrella-of-social-protection/>.

- Session 11, From Fordism to a Service-based Economy. How we went from Keynes to Schumpeter

Bonoli, G. (2005) "The Politics of the New Social Policies: Providing Coverage against new Social Risks in Mature Welfare States", *Policy and Politics*, 33 (3), 431-449.  
\* Jessop, B. (1993) "Towards a Schumpeterian Workfare State? Preliminary Remarks on Post-Fordist Political Economy," *Studies in Political Economy*, 40, 7-39.

- Session 12, Debate 3

Is free trade a fair game? Or do the most developed nations kick away the ladder?

Chang, H. J. (2002). *Kicking away the ladder: development strategy in historical perspective*. Anthem Press.

- Session 13, Class, Gender and Public Policy Reforms

Daly, M. (2011) What Adult Worker Model? *Social Politics*, 18, 1-23.

\* Ferragina, E. (2019) The Political Economy of Family Policy Expansion. *Review of International Political Economy*, 26, 1238-1265.

- Session 14, Debate 4

Do global elites use women's labour and ideas to exploit the world?

Eisenstein, H. (2015). *Feminism seduced: How global elites use women's labor and ideas to exploit the world*. Routledge. [The edition provided is the first one published in 2009]

- Session 15, How the Economic Crisis affects the Capacity to Fight Inequalities?

\* Hemerijck, A. (2013) *Changing Welfare States*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, (pp. 323-372).

Vahid Shadidi, F. (2015) "Welfare Capitalism in Crisis: A Qualitative Comparative Analysis of Labour Market Policy Responses to the Great Recession," *Journal of Social Policy*, 44, 659-686.

- Session 16, Debate 5

Is austerity a dangerous idea?

Blyth, M. (2013) *Austerity. The History of a Dangerous Idea*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

- Session 17, The Rising Invisible Majority: Bringing Society Back to International Political Economy

\* Ferragina et al. (Forthcoming). The Rising Invisible Majority: Bringing society back into Political Economy. *Review of International Political Economy*

Ryner, J. M. (2010). An Obituary for the Third Way: The Financial Crisis and Social Democracy in Europe. *The Political Quarterly*, 81 (4): 554-56





## Requirements for validation

**1. Presentation of readings and Debate (40% of grade).** During the first class, students will be divided into five groups. Each group will be responsible to present a book or a series of readings provided by the lecturer and introduce a debate (during Lectures 7, 9, 12, 14 and 16). The time allocated for the exercise is two hours. Each group will be assessed on: (1) the capacity to clearly illustrate the content of each assigned reading, (2) the capacity to introduce the debate, (3) ability to stimulate a lively discussion with the rest of the class. The readings and debates are listed in the weekly reading list.

**2. Summary and critique of weekly readings (50% of grade):** Each student will summarize and critique the readings for one selected session (students can pick up any session). The paper is worth 50% of the grade. The page limit is three 1.5-spaced pages (use 12-point Times New Roman font).

### *Summary*

Step back and think about the key ideas and central arguments of the readings. Describe what you have learned from the set of readings assigned for that week first (in a paragraph), and then highlight the unique contributions of each one or each subset of readings, respectively. Focus on the big picture instead of each and every detail. Limit the summary portion of the assignment to about 2 pages. Grades for this assignment will depend primarily on the organization and accuracy of the summary, accounting for about 2/3 of the grade.

### *Critique*

Think critically about the ideas, methods, and evidence presented in the readings, the style of their writing, and whether it is interesting and enlightening. Is the thinking logical and reasonable? Why or why not? What are the strengths of the arguments? Are the methods used appropriate? Is the evidence robust and supportive of the arguments? Where do you see flaws, weaknesses, or aspects that are not convincing? What would be a better way to think about the issue or topic? What is lacking or overlooked? What might be some innovative and well-reasoned ideas about the issue or topic at hand?

Evaluate the readings either as a whole or critique just one or one subset of the readings. Not every reading should be expected to cover everything, which is why multiple readings are assigned to address various facets of a topic. If you choose to critique the reading as a whole, compare and contrast the authors' ideas and arguments. How are they similar or different? How can they learn from each other?

Do not answer all of the questions listed above. These are designed to help you think about how to critique the readings thoughtfully and constructively. Focus on 1-3 of these questions. This part of the paper should not exceed 1 page and accounts for about 1/3 of the grade.

The assignment should be submitted via Moodle by the specified due date. Late submissions will result in the deduction of 1 point for each day, including weekends. Every page beyond the page limit will result in a deduction of 1 point.

**3. Class attendance and participation (10% of grade):** Each student is expected to participate fully in class discussions.



### Professor's Biography



**Emanuele Ferragina** is Full Professor of Sociology at Sciences Po. He grew up in Catanzaro, in the deep south of Italy. Prior to Sciences Po, he was a Departmental Lecturer at the University of Oxford, where he also received his PhD. His main research interest is the political economy of the welfare state. Besides academia, he has established (with a group of Italian researchers) the think tank Fonderia Oxford, which has the objective of raising public awareness about important societal issues, such as the rigidity of the Italian labour market, the lack of social cohesion in the Mezzogiorno, and the Italian brain drain. He also regularly writes for *Il Fatto Quotidiano* about equality, labour market issues, party politics, the welfare state, and lower league football.



## Additional Readings

- **Additional Readings Sessions 2 and 3**

Hay, C. and Wincott, D. (2012) *The Political Economy of the European Welfare State Capitalism*, Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan, pp. 8-32.

Kuhnle, S. and Sander, A. (2014) "The Emergence of the Western Welfare State" in Castles et al. (eds) pp. 61-80.

Petersen, K. and Petersen, J.H. (2013) "Confusion and Divergence: Origins and meanings of the term 'Welfare State' in Germany and Britain, 1840-1940", *Journal of European Social Policy*, 23: 37-51.

Veit-Wilson, J. (2000) "States of Welfare: a conceptual Challenge", *Social Policy and Administration*, 34: 1-25.

Wright, E. O. (2015). *Understanding class*. Verso Books.

### ***To go beyond Sessions 2 and 3***

- Timmins, N. (2017) *The Five Giants*, London: Harper Collins.

This book is an interesting journalistic (although very detailed) account of the construction of the Welfare State in the United Kingdom. A good read for everybody who likes history.

- The website <http://www.spicker.uk> offers a lot of interesting material about social policy across the world.

- **Additional Readings Session 4**

Esping-Andersen, G. (1985) *Politics against Markets: the Social Democratic Road to Power*, Princeton: Princeton University Press.

Korpi, W. (1983) *The Democratic Class Struggle*, London: Routledge.

Pierson, C. (2006) *Beyond the Welfare State? The New Political Economy of Welfare*, 3rd edition, Cambridge: Polity Press, Chap. 1-2.

Shalev, M. (1983) "The Social Democratic Model and Beyond: Two 'Generations' of Comparative Research on the Welfare State", *Comparative Social Research* 6: 315-351.

Skocpol, T. (1992) *Protecting Soldiers and Mothers. The Political Origins of Social Policy in the United States*, Cambridge: Harvard University Press. Chap 1.

Wilensky, H. (1975) *The Welfare State and Equality: Structural and Ideological Roots of Public Expenditures*, Berkeley: University of California Press.

### ***To go beyond Session 4***

- Flora, P. and Heidenheimer, A.J. (eds.) (2009) *The Development of Welfare States in Europe and America*, New Brunswick: Transaction Publishers.

- Kersbergen, K. (1995) *Social Capitalism. A Study of Christian Democracy and the Welfare State*, London: Routledge.

- Steinmo, S., Thelen, K. and Longstreth, F. (eds.) (1992) *Structuring Politics: Historical Institutionalism in Comparative Analysis*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

- **Additional Readings Session 5**

Arts, W. and Gelissen, J. (2010) "Models of the Welfare State", in Castles et al., pp. 569-583.



Ferragina, E., Seeleib-Kaiser, M. and Tomlinson, M. (2013) "Unemployment Protection and Family Policy at the Turn of the 21st Century: a Dynamic Approach to Welfare Regime Theory", *Social Policy and Administration*, 47: 783-805.

Kasza, G. J. (2002) "The Illusion of Welfare Regimes", *Journal of Social Policy* 31, 271-287.

Lewis, J. (1992) "Gender and the Development of Welfare Regimes", *Journal of European Social Policy* 2, 159-173.

Scruggs, L. A., & Allan, J., P. (2006) "Welfare State Decommodification in 18 OECD Countries", *Journal of European Social Policy*, vol 16:55-72.

### ***To go beyond Session 5***

- Castles, F.G. and Mitchell, D. (1993) *Worlds of Welfare and Family of Nations*, Dartmouth Aldershot.

This book provides a different perspective on welfare regime typologies from Esping-Andersen's classical work.

- Goodin, R. et al. (1999) *The Real Worlds of Welfare Capitalism*, New York: Cambridge University Press.

#### **• Additional Readings Session 6**

Franzoni, J.M. (2008) "Welfare Regimes in Latin America: Capturing Constellations of Markets, Families, and Policies", *Latin Americas Politics and Society*, 50, 67-100

Garay, C. (2017) *Social Policy Expansion in Latin America*, New York: Cambridge University Press.

Levinas, L. (2015) "Latin America: Anti-Poverty Schemes Instead of Social Protection", *Contemporary Readings in Law and Social Justice*, 7, 112-171.

Niedzwiecki, S. (2015) "Social Policy Commitment in South America. The Effect of Organized Labor on Social Spending from 1980 to 2010" *Journal of Politics in Latin America*, 7, 3-43

Pribble, J. (2011) "Worlds Apart: Social Policy Regimes in Latin America", *Studies in International Comparative Development*, 46, 191-216.

### ***To go beyond Session 6***

- Barrientos, A. (2013). *Social Assistance in Developing Countries*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

- Mesa-Lago, C. (2008) *Reassembling Social Security: A Survey of Pensions and Health Care Reforms in Latin America*, Oxford: Oxford University Press

A detailed guide to Latin American social security systems. Two useful books for those who want to gain an expertise in the field and are interested in the specific features of different Latin American social security systems.

#### **• Additional Readings Session 8**

Aidukaite, J. (2009) "Old welfare state theories and new welfare regimes in Eastern Europe: Challenges and implications", *Communist and Post-Communist Studies*, 42, 23-39.

Barr, N. (ed.) (2005) *Labour Market and Social Policy in Eastern and Central Europe*, The World Bank. URL:

<https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/bitstream/handle/10986/7425/343830PAPER0EU101OFFICIAL0USE0ONLY1.pdf?sequence=1>

Cerami, A. and Vanhuyse, P. (eds.) (2009) *Post-communist Welfare Pathways: Theorizing Social Policy Transformations in Central and Eastern Europe*, New York: Palgrave.

Cook, J.L. (2007) *Postcommunist Welfare States: Reform Politics in Russia and Eastern Europe*, Ithaca: Cornell University Press.

Ferrarini, T. and Sjöberg, O. (2010) "Social policy and health: transition countries in a comparative perspective", *International Journal of Social Welfare*, 19, 60-88.

Polese, A. et al. (2014) "'Welfare States' and Social Policies in Eastern Europe and the Former USSR: Where Informality Fits In?", *Journal of Contemporary European Studies*, 22, 184-198.

### ***To go beyond Session 8***

- Atas, N. (2018) "The Cost of Becoming a Neo-Liberal Welfare State: A Cautionary Case of Lithuania", *Critical Social Policy*, 38, 728-748.

An interesting study on the effects of neo-liberalism.

- Saxonberg, S. and Sirovátka, T. (2006) "Failing family policy in post-communist Central Europe", *Journal of Comparative Policy Analysis*, 8, 185-202.

A discussion about the particular challenges and deviant policies most Eastern European countries adopted in the field of family policy.

- **Additional Readings Session 10**

Gao, Qin. 2017. *Welfare, Work and Poverty: Social Assistance in China*. New York: Oxford University Press. Chapter 1.

Gao, Q., Yang, S. & Li, S. (2013). The Chinese welfare state in transition: 1988-2007. *Journal of Social Policy*, 42(4): 743-762.

Krishna, A. (2011) Gaining Access to Public Services and the Democratic State in India: Institutions in the Middle, *Studies in Comparative International Development*, 46: 98-117

Kwon, H.J. (1997) "Beyond European Welfare Regimes: Comparative Perspectives on East Asian Welfare State Systems", *Journal of Social Policy*, 26, 467-484.

Peng, I. (2014) "The Social Protection Floor and the 'New' social investment policies in Japan and South Korea", *Global Social Policy*, 14, 389-405.

Peng, I. and Wong, J. (2008) "Institutions and Institutional Purpose: Continuity and Change in East Asian Social Policy", *Politics & Society*, 36, 61-88.

Seung-Yoon Lee, S. (2016) "Institutional legacy of state corporatism in de-industrial labour markets: a comparative study of Japan, South Korea and Taiwan", *Socio-Economic Review*, 14, 73-95

### ***To go beyond Session 10***

- Kyung-Sup, C. (2010) *South Korea under Compressed Modernity Familial Political Economy in Transition*, London: Routledge.

Kyung-Sup work offers interesting insights on the link between rapid economic development and social transformation in South Korea. His work though has a lot general implications going well beyond the South Korean Case.

- **Additional Readings Session 11**

Bonoli, G. (2007) "Time Matters: Post-industrialization, New Social Risks and Welfare State Adaptation in Advanced Industrial Democracies", *Comparative Political Studies*, 40 (5), 495-520.

Esping-Andersen, G. (1999) *Social Foundations of Post-Industrial Societies*, Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Esping-Andersen, G. (2009) *The Incomplete Revolution. Adapting to Women's new Roles*, Cambridge: Polity Press, pp. 55-74& 145-166.

Hemerijck, A. (2013) *Changing Welfare States*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 51-85.

Pierson, P. (1998) "Irresistible Forces, Immovable Objects: Post-Industrial Welfare States confront Permanent Austerity", *Journal of European Public Policy*, 5 (4), 539-560.

Walters, W. (2009) "The Demise of Unemployment", *Politics and Society*, 24: 197-219.

### ***To go beyond Session 11***

- Esping-Andersen, G. et al. (2002) *Why We Need a New Welfare State*, Oxford: Oxford University Press.

A pivotal analysis on the importance of the welfare state. This work has anticipated lots of current discussion on the role of the welfare state to foster economic development while continue to protect citizens.

#### **• Additional Readings Session 13**

Daly, M. and Ferragina, E. (2018) Family policy in high-income countries: Five decades of development, *Journal of European Social Policy*, <https://doi.org/10.1177%2F0958928717735060>

Esping-Andersen, G. (2009) *The Incomplete Revolution. Adapting to Women's New Roles*, Cambridge: Polity Press, Chap. 3 and 4.

Ferragina, E. and Seeleib-Kaiser, M. (2015) Determinants of a Silent (R)evolution: Understanding the Expansion of Family Policy in Rich OECD Countries, *Social Politics*, 1-37.

Korpi, W. (2000). Faces of Inequality: Gender, Class, and Patterns of Inequalities in Different Types of Welfare States. *Social Politics*, 7:127-191.

Lewis, J. (2001). The Decline of the Male Breadwinner Model, *Social Politics*, 8:152-169.

### ***To go beyond Session 13***

- Daly, M. and Rake, K. (2003) *Gender and the Welfare State: Care, Work and Welfare in Europe and the USA*, Cambridge: Polity Press.

- Sainsbury, S. (ed.) *Gender and Welfare State Regimes*, Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Two foundational works on gender and the welfare state.

#### **• Additional Readings Session 15**

Castles, F.G. (2004) *The Future of the Welfare State: Crisis Myths and Crisis Realities*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, chapter 2: "A Race to the Bottom".

Farnsworth, K., Zoe, I. (eds.) (2011) *Social Policy in Challenging Times. Economic Crises and Welfare Systems*, Bristol: Policy Press, pp. 1-31.

Gamble, A. (2016) *Can The Welfare State Survive*, Cambridge: Polity Press.

Offe, C. (1984) *Contradictions of the Welfare State*, London: Routledge.  
O'Connor, J. (1973 [2017]) *The Fiscal Crisis of The State*, New York: Routledge.  
Starke, P. et al. (2013) *The Welfare State as a Crisis Manager*, London: Palgrave.

### ***To go beyond Session 15***

- Streeck, W. (2014) *Buying Time. The delayed crisis of democratic capitalism*. London: Verso.

A detailed account of why the crisis of neoliberal capitalism is heavily impacting on democracy.

- Wilkinson, R. and Pickett, K. (2010) *The Spirit Level: Why Equality is Better for Everyone*. London: Penguin, pp. 3-48.

An interesting account of why more egalitarian societies display better socio-economic and health outcomes

### **• Additional Readings Session 17**

Blyth, M. (2002) *Great Transformations: The Rise and Decline of Embedded Liberalism*. New York: Cambridge University Press.

Fourcade-Gourinchas, M. & Babb S. L. (2002). *The Rebirth of the Liberal Creed: Paths to Neoliberalism in Four Countries*. *American Journal of Sociology*, 108 (8): 533-579

Harvey, D. (2010) *A Companion to Marx's Capital*, Volume I. London: Verso.

Kalleberg, A.L. (2009) *Precarious Work, Insecure Workers: Employment Relations in Transition*. *American Sociological Review*, 74 (1): 1-22.

Kriesi, H., Grande, E., Lachat, R., Dolezal, M., Bornschier, S., & Frey, T. (2006). *Globalization and the Transformation of the National Political Space: Six European Countries Compared*. *European Journal of Political Research*, 45 (6): 921-956.

Polanyi, K. (2001). *The Great Transformation: The Political and Economic Origins of Our Time*. Boston: Beacon Press.

Rueda, D. (2005). *Insider-Outsider Politics in Industrialized Democracies: The Challenge to Social Democratic Parties*. *The American Political Science Review*, 99 (1): 61-74.

### ***To go beyond Session 17***

- Harvey, D. (2005). *A Brief History of Neoliberalism*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

- Milanović, B. (2016). *Global Inequality: A New Approach for the Age of Globalization*. Cambridge: The Belknap Press of Harvard University Press.

- Reading Capital, David Harvey's Video Lectures: <http://davidharvey.org/reading-capital/>